

The 'new offshore'

and the

LIBERTY MUNDO

NETWORK

CAYMAN ISLANDS
BRITISH VIRGIN ISLANDS
BELIZE
PANAMA
MAURITIUS
SEYCHELLES

OFFSHORE STRUCTURES
— STRICTLY CONFIDENTIAL —

BENEFICIAL OWNERS
HIDDEN WEALTH

TAX STRATEGIES
NOT FOR HMRC



Liberty Mundo

- Your Secret Wealth Fortress
- Own nothing
- Control everything

[Learn more](#)

Offshore Fortress

- Protect your assets
- Offshore bank accounts
- Reduce your tax rate to 1% or less

[Learn more](#)

Tax Free Companies

- Asset protection
- Tax optimisation
- Privacy & confidentiality

[Learn more](#)

Extradition.Co

- Avoid extradition
- New citizenships
- Safe haven strategy

[Learn more](#)

London Pacific

- Debt restructuring
- Distressed acquisitions
- Crisis management

[Learn more](#)

The ‘new offshore’ and the Liberty Mundo network

Executive summary.....	3
Introduction: A ‘new offshore’ market.....	5
The Liberty Mundo network	5
What is Liberty Mundo selling?.....	6
Ideology as a sales mechanism.....	6
A “global surveillance network”: Automatic information exchange of financial account information	8
Cryptocurrency and CARF	9
How much business is Liberty Mundo doing?	11
Who are the experts?	12
Conclusion: the regulatory gap	21
Recommendations.....	22
Endnotes	27

Executive summary

- This case study examines the Liberty Mundo network, a cluster of websites marketing offshore tax, asset protection, cryptocurrency privacy tools and multiple-residency services directly to retail consumers. These platforms exemplify a "new offshore" market, in which cross-border tax and asset protection strategies are sold through online content, newsletters, and paid consultations, rather than through traditional professional advisers. They are aimed at a wider consumer market than offshore advisers' traditional high-net-worth clients.
- **Advising on offshore secrecy:** Liberty Mundo and associated websites/channels present their advice as legal and compliant. Much of the freely visible content encourages customers to structure their affairs to reduce or eliminate disclosure of potentially taxable assets and income to tax authorities. Although the techniques described may be lawful depending on individual circumstances, some of the advice nonetheless promotes the exploitation of gaps in international reporting regimes and the use of privacy-enhancing cryptocurrency tools which make assets and transactions more difficult to trace. This raises significant consumer protection concerns, particularly given the absence of regulation of tax advice in the UK.
- **Invisible advisers:** The websites attribute content to several named experts and authors, and offer one-to-one consultations with some of them. TaxWatch has been unable to find independent, verifiable public profiles or professional histories of any of these individuals. AI image detection software suggests that some of their profile images are AI generated. Two UK companies registered to the address given on one of these websites have listed a director with the same name as one of the named website experts, but each company provided different personal details for the individual in their listings at the UK companies registry, Companies House. It is not known who created the profiles of the individuals mentioned on the websites, or who registered the information about the two companies' directors with Companies House.
- **A real-life connection:** Evidence from website infrastructure, source code and associated domains indicates several links between these websites and Craig Whyte, a well-known UK businessman who is the former owner of Rangers FC. Whyte's potential involvement is not mentioned on the websites or associated documentation. Whyte has been disqualified from acting as a UK company

director until 2029. (There is no suggestion that he has breached his director disqualification).

- **A regulatory gap:** The group of websites we describe here are only one of many sets of online/offshore tax advisers, financial influencers ('finfluencers') and advice retailers. There is no suggestion that the individuals named in our report have acted unlawfully.

This is a market without meaningful regulation. The UK government needs to ensure accountability and transparency by:

- Introducing at least some minimum standards and independent oversight of tax advice provided to UK consumers: including considering requiring UK tax advice providers to be registered with the Financial Conduct Authority (FCA), and/or a dedicated ombudsman for tax advice within the FCA;
- Ensuring that Companies House has automated procedures for identifying and investigating director identity discrepancies at the point of director registration;
- Ensuring the FCA and Advertising Standards Authority have effective powers to regulate or block offshore content providers and advisers marketing high-risk financial and tax services accessible to UK consumers.

TaxWatch made repeated attempts to contact the websites, named individuals and entities listed in this report, including by email, social media, website contact forms and post. We have heard only from Craig Whyte, who declined to comment on the record but has denied involvement (which we do not allege) in the UK and New Zealand companies listed below, whether as a director or shadow director, shareholder, beneficial owner, ultimate beneficial owner, in their management or control, or in any filing made with a company registry.

Introduction: A 'new offshore' market

The offshore world used to be largely the preserve of the very wealthy, multinational corporations, or others with access to specialist legal and financial advisers. Structures involving trusts, shell companies, nominee directors, multiple residencies, and bank accounts in secrecy jurisdictions were expensive, complex, and marketed primarily by law firms and company service providers to a selected clientele. The 'Panama Papers' leaks and subsequent investigations helped expose how these systems could be used to hide wealth, avoid or evade tax, and in some cases facilitate criminality.¹

In the decade since those leaks, offshore corporate and tax services have evolved. Rather than requiring elite connections, retail consumers can now discover second-passport services and crypto-based financial secrecy tools through ordinary web searches, newsletters, social media videos and online consultations. These services are amplified by social media algorithms. In common with other social media content, some of the marketing of these services evokes wider libertarian and 'manosphere' themes that have been growing in online discourse.

As we explore in this case study, there is no UK oversight of tax advice given in this way, and restrictions on financial advice are often unenforced. This raises concerns for consumer protection and for tax authorities.

The Liberty Mundo network

The 'Liberty Mundo' network is a cluster of related, mutually-referential websites including Liberty Mundo, Offshore Fortress, Extradition.co, Offshore Blueprint and Tax Free Companies.² This network of websites, and the social media pages that drive its traffic, market offshore-style advisory services directly to individual consumers.

The websites and associated social media channels reference a familiar set of themes: distrust of government, fear of litigation, concern about taxes, declining privacy, and geopolitical uncertainty. These concerns are then paired with proposed solutions: offshore companies and banking, trusts, residency migration, and crypto-assets, all to be tailored to clients via private consultations costing several thousand US dollars.

The opening pitch of these websites is not tax avoidance but protecting assets from litigious claims. Searching "How to protect assets offshore" from the UK quickly returns a 2021 article entitled 'Offshore asset protection: 7 key strategies you must take to secure your assets'.

“All of us in business have been sued at one time or another,” claims the author, explaining that *“the main users of offshore asset protection strategies are hard-working entrepreneurs, doctors, lawyers, and other professionals who want to make sure their assets remain safe in what’s becoming an ever more litigious society.”*³ Offshoring assets isn’t just for criminals and money launderers, the author states, but for normal businesspeople and professionals too.

This matters because such consumers of tax advice may have neither the expertise nor safeguards to assess whether the advice is effective, appropriate, or lawful in their individual situation.

What is Liberty Mundo selling?

Liberty Mundo offers to incorporate legal entities, and in some cases provide associated bank accounts, in the USA (“offered exclusively to non-US residents”),⁴ the Dominican Republic, Panama, Nevis, and the United Arab Emirates.⁵ It also offers to arrange clients’ second passports and new tax residency.

However, the bulk of Liberty Mundo’s business appears to be selling advice. This is partly in the form of paid-for and free PDF documents outlining ‘strategies’ for tax minimisation, offshoring, financial privacy, and citizenship by investment passports. But a significant amount of content pushes would-be consumers towards a paid-for one-on-one strategy or consultation call with the website’s named experts.⁶

It is unclear how Liberty Mundo and associated websites drive visitors, but they have associated accounts on X (formerly Twitter)⁷, YouTube⁸ and Substack.⁹

Ideology as a sales mechanism


The Liberty Mundo author lists ex-employees, tax authorities, business partners, spouses, patients and legal clients as the potential initiators of legal action requiring offshore protection:¹⁰

*“And here’s what pisses me off: Most of them will rob you while claiming the moral high ground. The tax collector isn’t ‘stealing’ – he’s collecting your ‘fair share’. Your ex-wife isn’t taking half your business – she’s getting what she ‘deserves’. That plaintiff’s lawyer isn’t shaking you down – he’s seeking ‘justice’ for his client.”*¹¹

In that framing, the state is not a legitimate tax collector but an aggressor, while asset concealment becomes a form of self-defence.¹² “Reduc[ing] tax” is framed as “personal sovereignty”.¹³

This ideological repositioning converts compliance obligations into personal or political grievances.¹⁴

Figure 1: extract from Liberty Mundo ‘Your Secret Wealth’ brochure (2026)



Source: Liberty Mundo

The website’s front page offers a ‘Freedom Score quiz’, declaring that “*Most people think they’re free. Then a government freezes their account, blocks their passport, or changes the tax rules overnight. Find out where you stand.*”¹⁵ TaxWatch tried taking the quiz using the details of a typical UK-based user: a UK national, employed, with a bank account in only one country, no other passports, no trusts, no cryptocurrency, and no gold. We scored 10/100 and were declared “vulnerable”, with a slew of automatic recommendations to acquire another passport, establish foreign residency, set up an offshore company, and create a trust. That typical user compared poorly with what Liberty Mundo calls the “optimised individual”, and we were pushed towards booking a ‘strategy call’ to “increase your Freedom Score”.¹⁶

Liberty Mundo’s marketing materials are hedged with instructions to comply with tax obligations, particularly for US taxpayers:

“Everything I’m describing in this book is legal. You can hold crypto offshore. You can use privacy coins. You can structure your affairs to minimize taxes. But you have to comply with reporting requirements.”¹⁷

Yet in many places in its materials, Liberty Mundo and its affiliates advise potential clients to arrange their financial affairs so that their income or assets are *not* reported under cross-border tax reporting systems. Since these reporting systems are exclusively for tax authorities to check whether their taxpayers have taxable offshore income or gains, it is difficult to see what the purpose of circumventing these systems would be, if not to hide offshore income or assets.

Two areas exemplify this dynamic: financial account information exchange, and privacy-focused crypto-assets.

A “global surveillance network”: Automatic information exchange of financial account information

These websites and associated materials explicitly discuss ways to reduce the visibility of financial assets under the Common Reporting Standard (CRS)¹⁸, an international framework of information-exchange between financial institutions and tax authorities, designed to reduce offshore tax evasion by ensuring that tax authorities can see money their taxpayers have deposited in overseas bank accounts. CRS was developed in 2014 under the auspices of the Organisation for Economic Co-operation and Development (OECD). It requires financial institutions like banks to identify the tax residency of customers and automatically report information on foreign-held accounts to local tax authorities, who then share this data internationally.¹⁹ Over 125 jurisdictions participate.²⁰ Liberty Mundo calls CRS “a global surveillance network”²¹ in which “your offshore bank is snitching on you to your home government.”²² CRS is not an information source available to creditors, ex-spouses or the public: it is solely available to tax authorities, with strict privacy provisions. Nor is it a tool against tax avoidance, but against tax evasion.

One of Liberty Mundo’s authors, ‘Henry Hill’, advises clients to structure their financial assets around a range of CRS exemptions and gaps. Some involve straightforwardly removing income or assets from the tax net of a taxing jurisdiction: changing one’s own nationality or tax residence, for instance.²³ Others, however, represent concealment of financial assets:

- Transferring out funds from an offshore account briefly around the 31 December reporting date so the account appears empty on that date;²⁴

- Non-US residents placing financial assets in a US bank account owned by a US company in states like Wyoming or Delaware, which takes advantage of the US' generally non-reciprocal approach to bank account information exchange,²⁵ and limited implementation of corporate beneficial ownership disclosure;²⁶
- Giving your offshore bank a taxpayer number from a different non-CRS jurisdiction obtained via a property purchase or registering a business in that jurisdiction.²⁷

This advice therefore spans the range from lawfully stepping outside a country's tax net, to banking in places outside the geographical scope of CRS, to actively concealing income from tax authorities.

Cryptocurrency and CARF

Since January 2026, a similar system to CRS has operated for crypto-assets, taking an analogous approach to CRS. Under the Crypto-Asset Reporting Framework (CARF), crypto-exchanges in participating countries are required to gather beneficial ownership information about crypto-assets, and report them to tax authorities for sharing with the other countries that have taxing rights over the owners' gains.²⁸

Liberty Mundo calls CARF a “*tax dragnet*”.²⁹ As with CRS, Liberty Mundo insists that the advice it gives is lawful and compliant:

*“Let’s be blunt. Hiding is not a strategy anymore, and pretending otherwise is absolute lunacy when penalties stack this high. The winning move is structure, not secrecy. A properly formed company, a clean tax residency, and accurate filings beat any attempt to dodge the net, because compliant structures lower your bill legally instead of betting your freedom on a wallet staying off-radar.”*³⁰

Yet much of Liberty Mundo's advice is precisely about secrecy. A marketing document entitled ‘Your secret wealth: Bulletproof protection for your assets in an age of total surveillance’³¹ highlights measures to conceal crypto-asset ownership and transfers, or to keep them off exchanges. These include using hardware wallets, self-custody, privacy coins such as Monero, and mixers designed to obscure transaction trails. The document's author states:

“This is where things get legally dicey, so I'm going to tread carefully. There are cryptocurrencies (like Monero) that are specifically designed for privacy. Unlike Bitcoin, where every transaction is visible on the blockchain, Monero transactions are encrypted and untraceable. There are also ‘mixing’ services that blend your Bitcoin with other users’ Bitcoin to obscure the transaction trail. Now, using privacy coins or mixers is not inherently illegal. But it raises red flags with regulators and law enforcement.”

*“If you hold crypto in a hardware wallet or other self-custody solution, you have true ownership in a way that's impossible with traditional assets. No bank can freeze it. No government can seize it without your cooperation. It's yours in the most fundamental sense. Crypto is the most portable asset class in human history. You can carry \$100 million in Bitcoin across any border in the world with nothing more than a 12-word seed phrase memorized in your head. Try doing that with gold or cash.”*³²

This refers to an offline method of holding a cryptocurrency wallet – an ‘unhosted’ wallet – which significantly reduces the likelihood of attribution to an identity by any entity.³³ Likewise, a privacy coin is a cryptocurrency designed to obscure transaction details, such as the sender, recipient, and/or amount, making transactions impossible to trace publicly.³⁴ A mixer (or tumbler) is a service that combines cryptocurrency from multiple users and redistributes it to different addresses to make it more difficult – and, after a period of time, mathematically impossible – to link transactions to their original source.³⁵ A self-custodial wallet refers to holding and controlling cryptocurrency private keys rather than relying on a third-party service, giving the user sole responsibility for access and security.³⁶ In software form, these might be held on a phone or computers; in hardware form, these might be held on something akin to a USB stick or written on a piece of paper.

Liberty Mundo caveats these techniques: *“If you're ever audited or investigated, the fact that you used privacy-enhancing techniques is going to be viewed with suspicion.”*³⁷

Privacy wallets, un-hosted wallets and privacy coins are all techniques of value storage and transfer labelled a threat to the integrity of the tax system by the J5, an international alliance comprising the chiefs of the UK, Dutch, US, Australian and Canadian tax administrations, whose mission is to “lead the fight against international and transnational tax crime and money laundering”. A 2025 J5 report on the ‘Misuse of fintech to enable tax evasion and money laundering’ stated that:

“Arrangements to launder omitted taxable income have been observed combining traditional remittance services with the use of cryptocurrency to move value across jurisdictions, and accounts with payment service providers to off-ramp to fiat and obfuscate subsequent transaction flows. [...] The pseudo-anonymous nature of cryptocurrency is a key factor motivating the illicit use of the innovation. [...] Some virtual assets and virtual asset services provide a pseudo-anonymous means to store omitted income, or break up the flow of transactions, such as privacy wallets, un-hosted wallets, and privacy coins. Other services obfuscate the flow of funds through a variety of methods, such as mixers, cross-chain services and manual obfuscation.”

“Where money laundering exists, tax compliance risks may also exist through the omission of taxable income.”³⁸

From a regulatory standpoint, the issue is not that it is in itself unlawful to provide information on crypto privacy tools or circumventing CRS. The issue for consumer protection is that Liberty Mundo, and sites like it, are being used to promote tools and structures to retail customers that are designed to prevent disclosure of income and assets to tax authorities, while insisting that these approaches are “legal”³⁹ and “compliant”.⁴⁰

The same is true of Liberty Mundo’s approach to holding assets via trusts: legal structures that transfer formal legal ownership to trustees, separate from the individual providing the assets (the ‘settlor’) or those with the right to benefit from them (the ‘beneficiaries’).

Liberty Mundo’s tagline for this is: “Own nothing, control everything.”⁴¹

“But if you control all of those assets through legal structures, you get all the benefits of ownership without the liability exposure. You drive the Ferrari whenever you want. You collect the rent from the properties. You can direct investments in the brokerage account. But legally, they’re not yours. They belong to a trust. Or a foundation. Or an LLC owned by a trust. This is how the wealthy stay wealthy while everyone else gets picked clean by lawyers and tax collectors.”⁴²

Liberty Mundo insists that “before you start thinking this sounds “shady,” let me be clear: This is 100% legal.”⁴³

The problem with this approach is that who owns a trust’s assets (and is liable for tax on their income and gains) is highly contingent on the legal agreements underpinning the trust and, in some cases, the de facto control or benefit of the assets.⁴⁴ It is often not the case that you can “get all the benefits of ownership without the liability exposure.”

How much business is Liberty Mundo doing?

We have been unable to identify with certainty any publicly-available corporate financial statements that might indicate the scale of the operation.

One insight is provided by cryptocurrency wallets associated with the network – which, ironically, are publicly transparent where their addresses are known. Until recently, Liberty Mundo publicly advertised that it accepted payment in cryptocurrencies, including Bitcoin and Bitcoin Cash⁴⁵, LiteCoin⁴⁶ and Tether⁴⁷. Analysis of transactions into and out of publicly-visible Bitcoin and Bitcoin cash wallets advertised by Liberty Mundo

indicates that they saw activity as recently as July 2026. These wallets have over 100 transactions, receiving a total of almost \$80,000 USD worth of crypto currency since late 2022. Analysis of the Bitcoin wallet address transactions shows that some of these are exchange wallets, likely meaning that crypto paid into them can be converted to fiat currency via a crypto-exchange.⁴⁸ Analysis of the wallets of other cryptocurrencies suggests either no transactions, or far fewer and smaller.

These relatively small transactions may nonetheless only represent a part of Liberty Mundo's income, since as well as traditional payment methods (in which activity is not publicly visible) the network also advertises that it takes payments in Monero, a privacy coin.⁴⁹ Because of the nature of privacy coins, it is impossible to identify whether the entities or individuals behind Liberty Mundo and associated websites received funds in this way, or how much they may have received. There may also be other wallets for payment that are not publicly advertised.

Who are the experts?

For online businesses that emphasise the accountability and expertise of their advisers and experts,⁵⁰ it has been difficult to find out who runs Liberty Mundo and associated websites.

Liberty Mundo has been online since at least December 2021.⁵¹ Until July 2026, Libertymundo.com or the other websites mentioned above named no associated companies or other legal entities at all. In July 2026, Liberty Mundo's website began to state that it was the “editorial arm of Liberty Mundo LLC”.⁵² This is the name of a company registered in Wyoming, USA, on 4 July 2026.⁵³ TaxWatch wrote to the Liberty Mundo website on 2 September asking if the Wyoming company of that name was the entity referenced on the Liberty Mundo website. TaxWatch did not receive a reply, but the Liberty Mundo website was subsequently altered to add a reference to the Wyoming company.⁵⁴

Shareholders and directors of Wyoming companies are not on public record, and (like some other US states) Wyoming does not collect information on the ownership of Wyoming LLCs.⁵⁵

The content on Liberty Mundo and associated websites is attributed to a range of named authors. With one exception – Peter Longmore, discussed below – none have significant online presence, or appear in public records we have been able to identify, beyond the websites featured in this report.

Richard Barr is described as “*the founder of LibertyMundo.com*”, and the author of ‘The Extradition Report’.⁵⁶ Liberty Mundo offers 60 minute personalised strategy calls with Mr

Barr for \$1,395, stating: *“When you book a call, you’re speaking directly with him. No junior associates. No gatekeepers.”*⁵⁷

Mr Barr is also listed on www.Extradition.co which describes itself as *“a boutique firm that offers international clients advice on global extradition agreements and cross border legal matters.”*⁵⁸ The website offers sale of ‘The Extradition Report’ via libertymundo.com for 147 USD, which provides *“3 proven strategies anyone can use to make extradition significantly harder – or impossible”* and *“how to communicate securely, build a low-profile life abroad, and obtain residency permits in countries that protect you.”*⁵⁹ The purpose of the report, its author explains, is:

*“How do international fugitives avoid extradition? Sometimes for decades. International extradition is little understood. As the world becomes more regulated and political it's easier than ever to fall foul of laws that seek to punish victimless 'crimes'. The statute books are full of pointless laws for victimless crimes. These so called crimes are pursued vigorously by prosecutors. [...] That's why I wrote The Extradition Report. I want to help people stay free. There's nobody with more practical knowledge of these topics.”*⁶⁰

A **Henry Hill** also appears as an author on Liberty Mundo’s substack, described as *“Founder [of] www.libertymundo.com. Helping high net worth clients acquire new citizenships and residences worldwide. Leading expert in International Asset protection and legal tax reduction”*.⁶¹

The AI imagery spotting platform ‘AI or not’ assesses the Substack profile image of Henry Hill and the website profile image of Richard Barr to be likely AI-generated.⁶²

Figure 2: online headshots of ‘Henry Hill’ (left)⁶³ and ‘Richard Barr’ (right)⁶⁴



Sources: Liberty Mundo Substack (left), Liberty Mundo website (right)

Until November 2022, www.LibertyMundo.com shared its internet protocol (IP) address with other websites including www.OffshoreFortress.com, which began using this IP

address in March 2022.⁶⁵ www.OffshoreFortress.com also states that it is founded by Henry Hill, this time described as “a global entrepreneur who has spent the last 25 years living and working overseas” and “many years based in Monaco.”⁶⁶ His description repeats many of the phrases and rhetorical tropes of Liberty Mundo. Hill works to “make you immune from taxes and law suits.”⁶⁷ He argues that after “even a modicum of success you will inevitably be attacked by all sorts of parasites trying to steal some of your hard-earned assets. These ‘parasites range from tax collectors and other government agencies to ex-wives and former employees. We believe that privacy is key and what your enemies don’t know about they can’t.”⁶⁸

Henry Hill is described as having “worked in different industries worldwide, including financial services, property and manufacturing and has been a director of companies listed on major European stock exchanges.”⁶⁹ TaxWatch was unable to find a record of a Henry Hill as a director of a publicly quoted company.

Offshore Fortress in turn features another named contributor: **Peter Longmore**.^{70 71 72 73} Although there is sparse detail about Mr Longmore on that website, another website linked by the same IP address⁷⁴ – London Pacific Group, an acquisitions, insolvency and restructuring platform – lists a Belgravia address,⁷⁵ at which is registered a UK company, London Pacific Markets Limited.⁷⁶ Its directors are not any of the names mentioned above. However, listed as directors of two other now-dissolved companies registered at the same Belgravia address have been two different people both named **Peter Longmore**:

- Revolution Capital Ltd, incorporated in April 2015, lists its sole director and shareholder (with £10 million called-up share capital) a Peter Longmore, giving a September 1977 date of birth, listing his nationality as American and his profession as ‘investment manager’. The company was compulsorily struck off in October 2018.⁷⁷
- Belgravia Research Ltd, incorporated in March 2015 at the same address, lists as its director and beneficial owner (with £1 million called-up share capital) a Peter Longmore with a June 1972 date of birth, giving his nationality as Irish and his profession as ‘researcher’. Belgravia Research was dissolved on 23 December 2025, after failing to submit director Peter Longmore’s identity verification on its due date, 18 November 2025.⁷⁸

(For a diagram of these links, see Figure 6 below).

We have been unable to identify other traces, online or in official records, of either Peter Longmore. While we cannot confirm that either or both Peter Longmores are inauthentic, it is a criminal offence to deliver, or cause to be delivered to Companies House, a document or make a statement that is misleading, false or deceptive in any material particular ‘without reasonable excuse’, punishable by an unlimited fine.⁷⁹ Knowingly

doing so is punishable by up to two years in prison, a fine, or both. **We do not know who made the filings to Companies House, and have no evidence that it was any of the individuals mentioned in this report.**

The individual registered as director of London Pacific Markets Limited, **Henry Freeman**, was also due to verify his identity to Companies House on 18 November 2025 but appears never to have done so. The company's accounts are overdue and after a stay of dissolution due to an objection being received, the company was compulsorily struck off in July 2026.⁸⁰

TaxWatch asked Companies House whether it had investigated Revolution Capital Ltd, Belgravia Research Ltd or either of the Peter Longmores listed as directors of those companies. Companies House stated that they do not comment on individual companies. In response to our general query asking whether Companies House proactively or at scale identifies indicators such as implausible filings, Companies House referred us to a 2026 progress report that states: *"Where intelligence indicates misuse of corporate structures, the Insolvency Service can act on timely referrals from Companies House, applying appropriate civil and criminal powers."*⁸¹ There is no indication that Revolution Capital Ltd or Belgravia Research Ltd have been referred in this way.

Finally, a **Charles Colville** is also identified as an author of Liberty Mundo⁸² and Extradition.Co materials.⁸³ TaxWatch was unable to verify Mr Colville's identity or existence either.

TaxWatch wrote to all these named individuals – Richard Barr, Henry Hill, Charles Colville, Henry Freeman and Peter Longmore – via their associated websites (Libertymundo.com, Offshorefortress.com, and Extradition.co) and via the registered address of London Pacific Markets Ltd. TaxWatch asked them to comment on our findings, confirm that they were real people, and provide details of their business and corporate histories. TaxWatch received no replies at the time of writing.

A real-life link?


Analysis of the common IP address of these websites (Figure 3) reveals only a small number of websites currently or historically associated with it. This IP address is associated with a single account at a US VPS provider.⁸⁴

Other than the websites named above, only two other websites are linked to this common IP address. One is a now defunct website, <https://blogwritersonline.com>, offering technical and commercial web copy, and featuring on its homepage only three

named individuals - as customers - listed as being associated respectively with Liberty Mundo, London Pacific Group, and Revolution Capital.

The other is www.CraigWhyte.com, the personal website of Craig Whyte, a well-known Scottish businessman.

Figure 3: Domain name server (DNS) analysis of dates the common IP address was observed




Source: analysis of DNS records matching common IP address

There are also apparent connections between these websites and Mr Whyte's online businesses:

- The words 'Liberty Mundo' appear faintly in the background graphic of the website of one of Mr Whyte's company-formation/asset protection businesses, FormationVault.com (Figure 5);⁸⁵
- The logo of OffshoreFortress.com is the same as that of Fortress Restructuring, which was a company directed and owned by Craig Whyte's father, Thomas Whyte, whose company telephone number Craig Whyte was reportedly recorded answering and offering insolvency advice from in 2020 (Figure 4).^{86 87 88}

Figure 4: Fortress Restructuring⁸⁹ and Offshore Fortress⁹⁰ logos side by side




Source: archived webpages of FortressRestructuring.com and OffshoreFortress.com

Metadata analysis of the Formation Vault background image above shows the author is 'Rescue Capital', the same name as the reported sister website of Fortress Restructuring mentioned below,⁹¹ and the title of the image is "Im globe – 1". An identical image appears on the Liberty Mundo-branded Tax Free Companies website mentioned above:⁹² the metadata of that image does not include a title. (It is impossible to say whether Formation Vault simply copied the image from the Liberty Mundo brand, and TaxWatch is not alleging that an identical image alone is proof that websites share personnel or operators).

Figure 5: (Top) Liberty Mundo logo in background image of FormationVault.com, part of the ‘Acequisition Group’ co-founded by Craig Whyte

(Middle) Same image/logo in YouTube video by Craig Whyte and associate promoting FormationVault.com, posted 30 August 2026 [red annotation and white redaction added]

(Bottom) Same image/logo on Liberty-Mundo branded ‘Tax Free Companies’ website



Sources: Formationvault.com (top), TaxFreeCompanies.com (middle), Youtube (bottom)

A former director of London Pacific Markets Ltd (the company behind www.londonpac.com) is Chris Keatings, with the same name and month/year of birth as the director of a now dissolved company called Snowcast (UK) Limited. (Companies House does not publicly list directors' day of birth for privacy reasons).⁹³ According to the judgement of a 2012 court case, Craig Whyte was previously a business associate of Mr Keatings and Snowcast (UK) Ltd, and lent money to Mr Keatings' business.⁹⁴ (TaxWatch attempted to contact Chris Keatings three times, including by hand delivery to known addresses. We have not received any response at the time of writing).

London Pacific Markets Ltd was also appointed as the corporate director of a company named Law Financial Ltd on the same date (11 August 2014) as Craig Whyte ceased to be director of Law Financial Ltd, and three days after the incorporation of London Pacific Markets Ltd.⁹⁵ Craig Whyte's formal disqualification as a UK company director began in October 2014.⁹⁶

The shareholder of the now-dissolved London Pacific Markets Ltd was a 'First Asia Group Inc', registered in an unknown jurisdiction and giving a New Zealand registered address.⁹⁷ Craig Whyte has been the director and shareholder of similarly named 'First Asia International Trading Company Limited' [富傑國際貿易有限公司] registered in Hong Kong, which was dissolved in May this year.^{98 99} Mr Whyte told TaxWatch that he had no involvement with the 'First Asia Group Inc' that was the shareholder of London Pacific Markets Ltd, or with any New Zealand company styled 'First Asia'.

Finally, Liberty Mundo has a no-longer-active URL (www.libertymundo.com/author/craig) for content attributed to an author called 'Craig' in the URL, but linking to a page listing articles by 'Henry Hill'.¹⁰⁰ Similarly, a 'Craig' is named in the website source code as the author of significant parts of the content of the website Extradition.co, though this name does not appear on the website content itself.¹⁰¹

TaxWatch asked Craig Whyte what his involvement or role was with Liberty Mundo, OffshoreFortress.com, Extradition.co and LondonPAC.com. Mr Whyte specifically denied involvement in the companies London Pacific Markets Ltd, Revolution Capital Ltd, Belgravia Research Ltd, and First Asia Capital Inc, as director, shareholder, officer or beneficial owner; in their management or control; or in any filing made in respect of any of them at Companies House. TaxWatch does not allege that Mr Whyte has any such role in these companies.

TaxWatch has asked Mr Whyte to comment on the record on the IP/DNS records, corporate links, and web content evidence set out above. TaxWatch has not received any on the record response at the time of writing.

Craig Whyte became widely known after acquiring the Scottish football club Rangers FC in 2011 before the club entered administration in 2012 amid tax and financial controversy.¹⁰² A British Virgin Islands-registered company reportedly linked to Craig Whyte¹⁰³ had reportedly provided guarantees for Rangers' FC's debt as part of Whyte's acquisition deal.¹⁰⁴

Whyte was not linked to the main Rangers tax-controversial structure – its Employee Benefit Trust – which had been set up before he acquired Rangers FC, and in 2017 he was acquitted both of fraudulently taking over Rangers FC, and of a separate charge under the Companies Act.¹⁰⁵ He later dropped a malicious prosecution claim against Scotland's Crown Office and Procurator Fiscal Service.¹⁰⁶ In 2021, Whyte was arrested and charged with failing to provide the Financial Conduct Authority (FCA) with passwords to seized devices, but the FCA discontinued the prosecution a year later.¹⁰⁷


In the wake of the Rangers FC administration, however, Whyte was banned in 2014 from being a director of a UK company for fifteen years, the maximum possible time.¹⁰⁸

In 2020, Scottish newspaper the Daily Record reported that it had recorded Whyte advising on company insolvencies and leaving behind tax debts via Fortress Restructuring Ltd, a company formally owned by Whyte's father.¹⁰⁹ Whyte's father was subsequently also disqualified as a director for ten years in 2023,¹¹⁰ and Fortress Restructuring Ltd was compulsorily wound up by the Insolvency Service after having “secured a £50,000 [Covid] Bounce Back Loan despite the company not trading.”¹¹¹

Like the “*global entrepreneur*” Henry Hill who appears on the Liberty Mundo websites, Whyte has been reportedly linked to Monaco.¹¹²

There is no suggestion that Craig Whyte is the only individual linked to Liberty Mundo and other associated websites, or that he is responsible for providing their content and services. He is the only real-world individual we have so far been able to identify whose online businesses have shared content and web infrastructure with Liberty Mundo and other associated websites.

Figure 6: Individuals, websites and entities named in this report [Websites taken offline after TaxWatch wrote to the entities in this report are marked with a red cross]



After TaxWatch contacted the websites, companies and individuals named in this report, a sequence of changes were made to the websites:

- OffshoreFortress.com, the website that named a 'Peter Longmore' as a contributor, has ceased to be online;
- LondonPac.com, the website that listed the Belgravia address where two different Peter Longmores were directors of different companies, has ceased to be online;
- Liberty Mundo added a section under 'editorial standards' stating that it uses "*pseudonymous speech*" and that "*individual contributors sometimes write under pen names*" for privacy reasons, though insisting that "*[r]eal, identifiable humans stand behind every byline*";¹¹³
- LibertyMundo.com updated its website to indicate that Liberty Mundo LLC is a company registered in Wyoming, USA.¹¹⁴

Conclusion: the regulatory gap

Liberty Mundo is just one of a growing number of online/offshore advice retailers and 'financial influencers' (fin-fluencers) promoting tax and asset protection advice, relocation, citizenship by investment and financial secrecy tools to consumers.¹¹⁵ They leverage social media, culture war talking points and, increasingly, AI-generated content to reach consumers who may not otherwise be looking for financial or tax advice. As AI makes such volume operations less costly, although not necessarily more accurate, we can expect these kinds of networks to proliferate exponentially.

Such actors present a serious regulatory challenge. Regulation remains compartmentalised: tax authorities focus on tax non-compliance, the Financial Conduct Authority regulates financial advice, the Advertising Standards Authority examines claims about products and services, Companies House and the Insolvency Service check compliance with company law. But online offshore-advice platforms may sit in the gaps between all five. (Liberty Mundo itself carries a disclaimer that "*Liberty Mundo LLC is an advisory firm, not a law firm, bank or licensed tax adviser. Content on this site is general information, not legal, tax or immigration advice*").¹¹⁶

In addition to this regulatory gap, online advice also offers the opportunity for anonymity. High-risk cross-border tax and asset-protection strategies can be sold to ordinary consumers through opaque online channels without accountability of those providing the advice and services.

Recommendations

This report illustrates the need to close gaps between regulatory authorities:

- The government should regulate tax advice through an ombudsman, possibly sitting under the Financial Conduct Authority (FCA), or extend the requirement for FCA authorisation to the kind of advice being offered to consumers outlined in this case study.
- Companies House should review its processes for flagging and investigating directors with identifier discrepancies, such as individuals with identical names but different dates of birth or nationality registering as directors at the same address.
- The Advertising Standards Authority (ASA) and Financial Conduct Authority (FCA) should have adequate powers to regulate or block overseas-hosted platforms advertising and selling to UK consumers.


ANNEX

Image A.i – results from ViewDNS.info

Reverse IP results for extradition.co (167.99.36.121)	
There are 4 domains hosted on this server. The complete listing of these is below:	
DOMAIN NAME	LAST RESOLVED
craigwhyte.com	2026-03-15
extradition.co	2026-05-14
londonpac.com	2026-03-14
offshorefortress.com	2026-03-14

Source: viewDNS.info


Image A.ii – Search for DNS records matching common IP address



Hostname	Observed
londonpac.com	30/05/2026
extradition.co	22/03/2026
www.extradition.co	22/03/2026
craigwhyte.com	12/08/2025
offshorefortress.com	28/03/2023
www.offshorefortress.com	28/03/2023
libertymundo.com	12/11/2022
www.libertymundo.com	28/09/2022


Source: OSINT Industries

Image B – Liberty Mundo substack



Source: libertymundo.substack.com

Image C – AI image detector assessment of Henry Hill headshot (Image B)




Source : aiornot.com

IMAGE D – Charles Colville




Source: extradition.co (archived on 4 March 2026)

IMAGE E – Richard Barr



Source: libertymundo.com

IMAGE F – Extradition.Co source code



```

1 <!DOCTYPE html>
2 <html lang="en-US" prefix="og: https://ogp.me/ns#">
3 <head>
4   <meta charset="UTF-8">
5   <meta name="viewport" content="width=device-width, initial-scale=1">
6   <!-- Search Engine Optimization by Rank Math - https://rankmath.com/ -->
7   <title>Home | EXTRADITION</title>
8   <meta name="description" content="Your comprehensive resource for extradition">
9   <meta name="robots" content="follow, index, max-snippet:-1, max-video-preview:-1">
10  <link rel="canonical" href="https://www.extradition.co/" />
11  <meta property="og:locale" content="en_US" />
12  <meta property="og:type" content="website" />
13  <meta property="og:title" content="Home | EXTRADITION" />
14  <meta property="og:description" content="Your comprehensive resource for extradition">
15  <meta property="og:url" content="https://www.extradition.co/" />
16  <meta property="og:site_name" content="EXTRADITION" />
17  <meta property="og:updated_time" content="2026-02-27T07:42:16+00:00" />
18  <meta property="article:published_time" content="2026-02-26T17:38:27+00:00" />
19  <meta property="article:modified_time" content="2026-02-27T07:42:16+00:00" />
20  <meta name="twitter:card" content="summary_large_image" />
21  <meta name="twitter:title" content="Home | EXTRADITION" />
22  <meta name="twitter:description" content="Your comprehensive resource for extradition">
23  <meta name="twitter:label1" content="Written by" />
24  <meta name="twitter:data1" content="Craig" />
25  <meta name="twitter:label2" content="Time to read" />
26  <meta name="twitter:data2" content="Less than a minute" />
27  <script type="application/ld+json" class="rank-math-schema">{"@context":"https://schema.org","@type":"Page","mainEntityOfPage":{"@type":"WebPage","url":"https://www.extradition.co/"},"inLanguage":"en-US"},{"@type":"Person","id":"https://www.extradition.co/author/craig/","name":"Craig","url":"https://www.extradition.co/author/craig/","image":{"@type":"Image","url":"https://www.extradition.co/author/craig/avatar.jpg","width":100,"height":100}}</script>
28  <!-- /Rank Math WordPress SEO plugin -->
29
30  <link rel="alternate" type="application/rss+xml" title="EXTRADITION &raquo; RSS Feeds" />
31  <link rel="alternate" type="application/rss+xml" title="EXTRADITION &raquo; RSS Feeds" />
32  <link rel="alternate" title="oEmbed (JSON)" type="application/json+oembed" href="https://www.extradition.co/oembed?url=https://www.extradition.co/&format=json" />
33  <link rel="alternate" title="oEmbed (XML)" type="text/xml+oembed" href="https://www.extradition.co/oembed?url=https://www.extradition.co/&format=xml" />
34  <style id="wp-img-auto-sizes-contain-inline-css">

```

Source: extradition.co

Endnotes

¹ <https://www.icij.org/investigations/panama-paper> accessed 12 June 2026

² Archived websites:

Liberty Mundo – <https://web.archive.org/web/20260116215125/https://www.libertymundo.com/>

Extradition.co – <https://web.archive.org/web/20260309150137/https://www.extradition.co/>

Offshore Blueprint – <https://web.archive.org/web/20260216202524/https://offshoreblueprint.com>

Tax Free Companies –

https://web.archive.org/web/20260110075108mp_/https://taxfreecompanies.com/

³ Archived webpage:

<https://web.archive.org/web/20250328163504/https://www.libertymundo.com/index.php/2021/07/20/offshore-asset-protection/>

⁴ Archived webpage:

<https://web.archive.org/web/20260714194313/https://www.libertymundo.com/incorporate/us-llc/>

⁵ Archived

webpage: <https://web.archive.org/web/20260714191814/https://www.libertymundo.com/about/>

⁶ Archived webpage:

<https://web.archive.org/web/20260706065327/https://www.libertymundo.com/contact-us-1/>

⁷ <https://x.com/TheStateEnemy>

⁸ <https://www.youtube.com/@libertymundo>

⁹ <https://libertymundo.substack.com>

¹⁰ Archived webpage:

<https://web.archive.org/web/20250328163504/https://www.libertymundo.com/index.php/2021/07/20/offshore-asset-protection/>

¹¹ Archived PDF document:

https://web.archive.org/web/20260810144624/https://www.libertymundo.com/wp-content/uploads/2026/01/secret-wealth-fortress-2026a-1e0d8477_c.pdf/

¹² Archived webpages:

<https://web.archive.org/web/20260527143208/https://www.libertymundo.com/protect-assets-from-divorce/>

<https://web.archive.org/web/20260527143214/https://www.libertymundo.com/secret-divorce/>

<https://web.archive.org/web/20260527143416/https://www.libertymundo.com/form-an-offshore-company/>

https://web.archive.org/web/20260108151535/https://www.libertymundo.com/wp-content/uploads/2026/01/secret-wealth-fortress-2026a-1e0d8477_c.pdf

¹³ Archived webpage:

<https://web.archive.org/web/20260612155733/https://www.libertymundo.com/about/>

¹⁴ Archived webpage:

<https://web.archive.org/web/20260527144155/https://www.libertymundo.com/about/>

¹⁵ Archived webpage:

<https://web.archive.org/web/20260706063703/https://www.libertymundo.com/freedom-score/>

¹⁶ Archived webpage:

<https://web.archive.org/web/20260706063703/https://www.libertymundo.com/freedom-score/>

¹⁷ Archived PDF document:

https://web.archive.org/web/20260108151535/https://www.libertymundo.com/wp-content/uploads/2026/01/secret-wealth-fortress-2026a-1e0d8477_c.pdf

¹⁸ Archived webpage: ‘How to Avoid CRS in 2026: 12 Proven Strategies to Protect Your Financial Privacy’:

<https://web.archive.org/web/20260513085726/https://www.libertymundo.com/avoid-crs-2026/>

¹⁹ https://www.oecd.org/en/publications/consolidated-text-of-the-common-reporting-standard-2025_055664b1-en.html

²⁰ OECD, *Signatories of the CRS Multilateral Competent Authority Agreement*,

<https://www.oecd.org/content/dam/oecd/en/topics/policy-issues/tax-transparency-and-international-co-operation/crs-mcaa-signatories.pdf>, accessed 12 June 2026

²¹ Archived webpage: <https://web.archive.org/web/20260705181359/https://www.libertymundo.com/us-llcs-keep-assets-safe/>

²² Archived PDF document:

https://web.archive.org/web/20260108151535/https://www.libertymundo.com/wp-content/uploads/2026/01/secret-wealth-fortress-2026a-1e0d8477_c.pdf

²³ Archived webpage:

<https://web.archive.org/web/20260513085726/https://www.libertymundo.com/avoid-crs-2026/>

²⁴ Archived webpage:

<https://web.archive.org/web/20260513085726/https://www.libertymundo.com/avoid-crs-2026/>

²⁵ Liberty Mundo argues that the US' approach to financial account information exchange (via its own parallel system, FATCA, rather than CRS) is non-reciprocal: requiring non-US financial institutions to provide the US tax authority with details of accounts of US taxpayers, but not providing information to other countries about their taxpayers' accounts in US financial institutions. This is not true everywhere, however: the US has signed 'Model 1' (reciprocal) FATCA agreements with a number of countries, including the UK. However, the information provided by the US is less than the information it demands from other countries under these agreements. See <https://taxjustice.net/2015/01/26/loophole-usa-vortex-shaped-hole-global-financial-transparency-2/>

²⁶ Archived PDF document:

https://web.archive.org/web/20260108151535/https://www.libertymundo.com/wp-content/uploads/2026/01/secret-wealth-fortress-2026a-1e0d8477_c.pdf pp. 7-8. As Liberty Mundo points out, the US Corporate Transparency Act, intended to require states to gather beneficial ownership information about legal entities registered in their jurisdiction and provide it to a central registry available to law enforcement and financial institutions. Under the second Trump Administration, however, the US agency tasked with this function ruled in March 2025 that it will not apply to companies formed in US states, but only to non-US companies operating in those states, and those will only report information on non-US beneficial owners. See <https://www.fincen.gov/boi/ifr-qa>

²⁷ Archived webpage:

<https://web.archive.org/web/20260513085726/https://www.libertymundo.com/avoid-crs-2026/>

²⁸ https://www.oecd.org/en/publications/2023/06/international-standards-for-automatic-exchange-of-information-in-tax-matters_ab3a23bc.html

²⁹ Archived webpage: <https://web.archive.org/web/20260706063652/https://www.libertymundo.com/crs-2-0-crypto-reporting/>

³⁰ Archived webpage: <https://web.archive.org/web/20260706063652/https://www.libertymundo.com/crs-2-0-crypto-reporting/>

³¹ Archived PDF document:

https://web.archive.org/web/20260108151535/https://www.libertymundo.com/wp-content/uploads/2026/01/secret-wealth-fortress-2026a-1e0d8477_c.pdf

³² Archived PDF document:

https://web.archive.org/web/20260108151535/https://www.libertymundo.com/wp-content/uploads/2026/01/secret-wealth-fortress-2026a-1e0d8477_c.pdf

³³ See e.g. US Treasury, *Requirements for Certain Transactions Involving Certain Convertible Virtual Currency or Digital Assets: FAQs as of December 18, 2020*,

<https://home.treasury.gov/system/files/136/2020-12-18-FAQs.pdf> : "An unhosted wallet is not hosted by a third-party financial system. It can be very difficult or impossible to determine who is accessing or in control of the use of cryptocurrencies in an unhosted wallet. Unhosted wallets allow for anonymity and concealment of illicit financial activity".

³⁴ See e.g. Chainalysis, 'Privacy Coins 101: Anonymity-Enhanced Cryptocurrencies', 18 April 2023,

<https://www.chainalysis.com/blog/privacy-coins-anonymity-enhanced-cryptocurrencies/>

³⁵ Holt TJ, Lee JR, Griffith E., 'An Assessment of Cryptomixing Services in Online Illicit Markets', *Journal of Contemporary Criminal Justice* (Vol 39(2), March 2023), pp. 222-238,

<https://pmc.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/articles/PMC12352543/>

³⁶ UK Finance, 'The Attribution Gap: Sanctions Compliance and Self-Custodial Wallets', 29 May 2026,

<https://www.ukfinance.org.uk/news-and-insight/blog/attribution-gap-sanctions-compliance-and-self-custodial-wallets>

³⁷ See 'Privacy Coins and Mixing', page 32, archived at

https://web.archive.org/web/20260108151535/https://www.libertymundo.com/wp-content/uploads/2026/01/secret-wealth-fortress-2026a-1e0d8477_c.pdf

³⁸ J5 Alliance, *Misuse of Fintech to enable tax evasion and money laundering* (May 2025, reference J5GFIP-MOF), p. 10, <https://j5alliance.global/sites/default/files/2025-06/25-0005-004%20J5%20Report%20GFIP%20FinTech%20Report%20FULL%20v2.1.pdf>

³⁹ Archived PDF document:

https://web.archive.org/web/20260108151535/https://www.libertymundo.com/wp-content/uploads/2026/01/secret-wealth-fortress-2026a-1e0d8477_c.pdf p.12

⁴⁰ Archived PDF document:

https://web.archive.org/web/20260108151535/https://www.libertymundo.com/wp-content/uploads/2026/01/secret-wealth-fortress-2026a-1e0d8477_c.pdf p.49

⁴¹ Archived PDF document:

https://web.archive.org/web/20260108151535/https://www.libertymundo.com/wp-content/uploads/2026/01/secret-wealth-fortress-2026a-1e0d8477_c.pdf p.11

⁴² Archived PDF document:

https://web.archive.org/web/20260108151535/https://www.libertymundo.com/wp-content/uploads/2026/01/secret-wealth-fortress-2026a-1e0d8477_c.pdf p.12

⁴³ Archived PDF document:

https://web.archive.org/web/20260108151535/https://www.libertymundo.com/wp-content/uploads/2026/01/secret-wealth-fortress-2026a-1e0d8477_c.pdf p.12

⁴⁴ To take just one example: under UK law, assets settled into trust where the settlor retains a benefit can be reclassified as personal assets for the purposes of inheritance tax. See Emma Chamberlain, 'Trusts and Settlements: gifts with reservation of benefits', *Tax Adviser Magazine*, 5 January 2026, <https://www.taxadvisermagazine.com/article/trusts-and-settlements-gifts-reservation-benefits>

⁴⁵ Details on file.

⁴⁶ Details on file.

⁴⁷ Details on file. TaxWatch has identified a total of five cryptocurrency wallets publicly advertised by Liberty Mundo.

⁴⁸ Details of Bitcoin and Bitcoin cash wallet, on file.

⁴⁹ Details on file.

⁵⁰ <https://www.libertymundo.com/editorial-standards/>

⁵¹ Archived webpage: <https://web.archive.org/web/20211226121737/https://www.libertymundo.com/>

⁵² Archived webpage:

<https://web.archive.org/web/20260705181409/https://www.libertymundo.com/editorial-standards/>

⁵³ Company details at Wyoming Secretary of State Business Center,

<https://wyobiz.wyo.gov/business/FilingDetails.aspx?eFNum=097097143192222048215086151212121159043246026152>

⁵⁴ Archived webpage:

<https://web.archive.org/web/20260907115943/https://www.libertymundo.com/terms-of-service/>

⁵⁵ Spencer Woodman, 'With sharp rise in incorporations, Wyoming cements reputation as US secrecy haven', International Consortium of Investigative Journalists, 3 December 2024, <https://www.icij.org/investigations/cyprus-confidential/with-sharp-rise-in-incorporations-wyoming-cements-reputation-as-us-secrecy-haven/>

⁵⁶ Archived webpage: <https://web.archive.org/web/20260216202524/https://offshoreblueprint.com/>

⁵⁷ Archived webpage:

<https://web.archive.org/web/20260810145059/https://www.libertymundo.com/strategy-call/>

⁵⁸ Archived webpage: <https://web.archive.org/web/20260312052004/https://www.extradition.co/about/>

⁵⁹ Archived webpage: <http://web.archive.org/web/20260417143629/https://www.extradition.co/reports/>

⁶⁰ Archived webpage: <https://web.archive.org/web/20260219143604/https://www.extradition.report/>

⁶¹ See <https://substack.com/@libertymundo> and annex image E.

⁶² Test performed at www.Alornot.com. See also Annex image C.

⁶³ Archived webpage:

<https://web.archive.org/web/20260810173751/https://libertymundo.substack.com/about>

⁶⁴ Archived webpage:

<https://web.archive.org/web/20260810173630/https://www.libertymundo.com/about/richard-barr/>

⁶⁵ Analysis of DNS records matching common IP address over time.

⁶⁶ Archived webpage: <https://web.archive.org/web/20260211002354/https://offshorefortress.com/who-we-are/>

⁶⁷ Archived webpage: <https://web.archive.org/web/20260211002354/https://offshorefortress.com/who-we-are/>

⁶⁸ Archived webpage: <https://web.archive.org/web/20260211002354/https://offshorefortress.com/who-we-are/>

⁶⁹ Archived webpage: <https://web.archive.org/web/20260211002354/https://offshorefortress.com/who-we-are/>

⁷⁰ Archived webpage: Peter Longmore, 'how to get a digital nomad visa eu in 2022', *OffshoreFortress.com*, <https://web.archive.org/web/20250212174138/https://offshorefortress.com/how-to-get-a-digital-nomad-visa-eu-in-2022/>

⁷¹ Archived webpage: Peter Longmore, 'The Hidden Agenda of Beneficial Ownership Registers: Wealth Taxation and Control Explained', *OffshoreFortress.com*, <https://web.archive.org/web/20250316092748/https://offshorefortress.com/the-hidden-agenda-of-beneficial-ownership-registers-wealth-taxation-and-control-explained/>

⁷² Archived webpage: Peter Longmore, 'Cook island trusts – the ultimate tool for asset protection', *OffshoreFortress.com*, <https://web.archive.org/web/20250124024953/https://offshorefortress.com/cook-island-trusts/>

⁷³ Archived webpage: Peter Longmore, 'Use an isle of man company for tax free trading in 2023', *OffshoreFortress.com*, <https://web.archive.org/web/20250124042056/https://offshorefortress.com/isle-of-man-company/>

⁷⁴ See annex image A.

⁷⁵ Archived webpage: <https://web/20260803154843/https://londonpac.com/index.php/about/>

⁷⁶ UK Companies House, London Pacific Markets Limited, Company number 09167628.

⁷⁷ UK Companies House, Revolution Capital Limited, Company number 09568911.

⁷⁸ UK Companies House, Belgravia Research Limited, Company number 09466410.

⁷⁹ Economic Crime and Corporate Transparency Act 2023, Section 102

⁸⁰ Companies House records for London Pacific Markets Limited, <https://find-and-update.company-information.service.gov.uk/company/09167628/officers>

⁸¹ <https://assets.publishing.service.gov.uk/media/6a28300ee371d9d2c0052b3e/third-report-on-the-implementation-and-operation-of-parts-1-to-3-of-the-economic-crim-and-corporate-transparency-act-2023.pdf>

⁸² Archived webpage: <https://web.archive.org/web/20260609213950/https://www.libertymundo.com/territorial-tax/>

⁸³ Archived webpage: <https://web.archive.org/web/20260417161500/https://www.extradition.co/category/uk-us-extradition/>

⁸⁴ Analysis of historical DNS records, on file. Searches conducted 4 August 2026.

⁸⁵ <https://formationvault.com/> Formation Vault describes itself on its website as an 'Acequisition Group Company', referring to Acequisition, the group of websites and social media channels run by Mr Whyte and associates. See <https://www.youtube.com/@acequisition> and the references/links to Formation Group on that Youtube page and on Craig Whyte's Youtube videos on that page. See also <https://acequisition.com/about/>

⁸⁶ Daily Record, 'Rogue businessman Craig Whyte emerges in new tax avoidance venture', 24 September 2024, <https://www.dailyrecord.co.uk/news/rogue-businessman-craig-whyteemerges-33750588>

⁸⁷ Archived webpage: https://web.archive.org/web/20220000000000*/https://www.fortressrestructuring.com/

⁸⁸ Archived webpage: <https://web.archive.org/web/20260810173345/https://offshorefortress.com/>

⁸⁹ Archived webpage: <https://web.archive.org/web/20220124063000/https://www.fortressrestructuring.com/>

⁹⁰ Archived webpage: <https://web.archive.org/web/20260810173345/https://offshorefortress.com/>

⁹¹ Mark McGivern, 'Firm used by Craig Whyte to help businesses walk away from debt being wound up', *Daily Record*, 6 February 2021, <https://www.dailyrecord.co.uk/news/scottish-news/banned-rangers-chief-craig-whyte-23452255>

⁹² Image: <https://taxfreecompanies.com/lovable-uploads/hero-background.webp>

⁹³ UK Companies House records for company number SC327994

⁹⁴ Sheriffdom of Glasgow and Strathkelvin At Glasgow, Judgement in *One Stop Roofing Supplies Limited v TixWay UK Ltd*, 9 February 2012, https://www.scotcourts.gov.uk/media/vhbfmbwd/ca327_10-one-stop-roofing-supplies-limited-vtixway-uk-limited.pdf

⁹⁵ UK Companies House records for company number 08440073

- ⁹⁶ UK Companies House register of disqualified directors, search for Craig Thomas Whyte
- ⁹⁷ TaxWatch was unable to find this company on the New Zealand company register.
- ⁹⁸ Hong Kong company registry records for company number 1582478.
- ⁹⁹ ‘Craig Whyte’s “hidden millions” hunted by his angry brother-in-law during sister’s bitter divorce battle’, Daily Record <https://www.dailyrecord.co.uk/news/craig-whytes-hidden-millions-hunted-35828990>
- ¹⁰⁰ Archived webpage:
<https://web.archive.org/web/20220626020200/https://www.libertymundo.com/author/craig/>
- ¹⁰¹ See annex image F.
- ¹⁰² Roger Blitz, ‘HMRC stance sees Rangers face liquidation’, *Financial Times* (12 June 2012), <https://www.ft.com/content/a48bc4f2-b48a-11e1-bb68-00144feabdc0>
- ¹⁰³ <https://craigwhyte.com/about/>
- ¹⁰⁴ Financial Ombudsman Service, decision reference DRN2732863 (2020), <https://www.financial-ombudsman.org.uk/businesses/resolving-complaint/ombudsman-decisions>
- ¹⁰⁵ BBC News, ‘Rangers fraud trial: Craig Whyte found not guilty’, 6 June 2017, <https://www.bbc.co.uk/news/uk-scotland-glasgow-west-40156934>
- ¹⁰⁶ ‘Ex-Rangers owner Craig Whyte drops £500,000 Crown Office claim’, *BBC News*, 8 May 2023, <https://www.bbc.co.uk/news/uk-scotland-65523955>
- ¹⁰⁷ FCA press release, 28 February 2022, <https://www.fca.org.uk/news/press-releases/fca-discontinues-action-against-craig-whyte>
- ¹⁰⁸ <https://find-and-update.company-information.service.gov.uk/disqualified-officers/natural/jHMLD-sG1UMGH9cfXd-TYS0rP4Q>
- ¹⁰⁹ Mark McGivern, ‘Rogue businessman Craig Whyte emerges in new tax avoidance venture’, *Daily Record*, 25 September 2024, <https://www.dailyrecord.co.uk/news/rogue-businessman-craig-whyte-emerges-33750588>
- ¹¹⁰ Insolvency Service, ‘DISQUALIFIED: 10 year ban for Thomas Whyte, boss of Scottish-based Fortress Restructuring Ltd, after wrongly claiming £50,000 Bounce Back loan’, <https://www.linkedin.com/posts/disqualified-10-year-ban-for-thomas-whyte-share-7030908256755568640-6p6M/>
- ¹¹¹ Insolvency Service, *Newsletter, Spring 2023*, <https://www.gov.uk/government/news/insolvency-service-newsletter-spring-2023>
- ¹¹² BBC News, ‘How the Rangers takeover ended up in the dock’, 6 June 2017, <https://www.bbc.com/news/uk-scotland-40117731>
- ¹¹³ <https://www.libertymundo.com/editorial-standards/>
- ¹¹⁴ <https://www.libertymundo.com/privacy-policy/>
- ¹¹⁵ Some other examples: Tax Policy Associates, ‘The Property Guru and his bogus tax loopholes’, 6 January 2026, <https://taxpolicy.org.uk/2026/01/06/samuel-leeds-bogus-tax-loopholes/>; Tax Policy Associates, ‘Gibraltar Corporate Partners’, 30 December 2025, <https://taxpolicy.org.uk/2025/12/30/gibraltar-corporate-partners-offshore-advisory-group/>
- ¹¹⁶ <https://www.libertymundo.com/editorial-standards/>